

Blankenship Vs. Holding

Blankenship Vs. Holding

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101685

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : May-15-1967

Appeal No. : 387 U.S. 95

Appellant : Blankenship

Respondent : Holding

Judgement :

BLANKENSHIP v. HOLDING - 387 U.S. 95 (1967)

U.S. Supreme Court BLANKENSHIP v. HOLDING, 387 U.S. 95 (1967) **387 U.S. 95**

BLANKENSHIP ET AL. v. HOLDING, DBA GRAND NEWS.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF

OKLAHOMA. No. 1089.

Decided May 15, 1967.

259 F. Supp. 694, affirmed.

Charles Nesbitt, Attorney General of Oklahoma, and Jeff Hartmann, Assistant Attorney General, for appellants.

Samuel W. Block, Thomas P. Sullivan and Paul C. Duncan for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Probable jurisdiction noted. The judgment of the District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma entered November 4, 1966, is affirmed insofar as it adjudged provisions of 1040.1 to 1040.10 of Title 21 of the Oklahoma Statutes to be unconstitutional.

Page 387 U.S. 95, 96

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com