

**Holding Vs. Blankenship**

**Holding Vs. Blankenship**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/101684](http://sooperkanoon.com/101684)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** May-15-1967

**Appeal No. :** 387 U.S. 94

**Appellant :** Holding

**Respondent :** Blankenship

**Judgement :**

HOLDING v. BLANKENSHIP - 387 U.S. 94 (1967)

U.S. Supreme Court HOLDING v. BLANKENSHIP, 387 U.S. 94 (1967) **387 U.S. 94**

HOLDING, DBA GRAND NEWS v. BLANKENSHIP ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF

OKLAHOMA. No. 1088.

Decided May 15, 1967.

259 F. Supp. 694, reversed in part and appeal dismissed and certiorari denied in part.

Samuel W. Block, Thomas P. Sullivan and Paul C. Duncan for appellant.

PER CURIAM.

Probable jurisdiction noted as to Question 1. The judgment of the District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma entered November 4, 1966, is reversed insofar as it adjudged provisions of 1040.1 to 1040.10 of Title 21 of the Oklahoma Statutes to be constitutional. *Bantam Books, Inc. v. Sullivan*, [372 U.S. 58](#) . Treating the nonappealable issue presented by Question 2 as if contained in a petition for a writ of certiorari, the petition is denied. See *Mishkin v. New York*, [383 U.S. 502, 512](#) .

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN concurs in the denial of certiorari as to Question 2, but would affirm the judgment of the District Court as to Question 1.

MR. JUSTICE WHITE would note probable jurisdiction and set the case for oral argument.

Page 387 U.S. 94, 95

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**