

Mercer Vs. Hemmings

Mercer Vs. Hemmings

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101565

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-23-1967

Appeal No. : 389 U.S. 46

Appellant : Mercer

Respondent : Hemmings

Judgement :

MERCER v. HEMMING - 389 U.S. 46 (1967)

U.S. Supreme Court MERCER v. HEMMING, 389 U.S. 46 (1967) **389 U.S. 46**

MERCER ET AL. v. HEMMING ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA.

No. 406.

Decided October 23, 1967.

194 So.2d 579, 587, appeal dismissed.

Bruce Bromley, John H. Pickering, John R. Hupper, Hervey Yancey and Victor M. Earle III for appellants.

Chester Bedell for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

MR. JUSTICE STEWART is of the opinion that probable jurisdiction should be noted and the case assigned for oral argument.

[389 U.S. 46](#) (1967) "> U.S. Supreme Court BENNETT v. MISSISSIPPI, [389 U.S. 46](#) (1967) **389 U.S. 46**

BENNETT v. MISSISSIPPI.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI.

No. 460.

Decided October 23, 1967.

197 So.2d 886, appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Hardy Lott and R. Cunliffe McBee for appellant.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

Page 389 U.S. 46, 47