

Beck Vs. Mcleod

Beck Vs. Mcleod

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101473

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jan-31-1966

Appeal No. : 382 U.S. 454

Appellant : Beck

Respondent : Mcleod

Judgement :

BECK v. Mc LEOD - 382 U.S. 454 (1966)

U.S. Supreme Court BECK v. Mc LEOD, 382 U.S. 454 (1966) **382 U.S. 454**

BECK v. Mc LEOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF
SOUTH CAROLINA. No. 770.
Decided January 31, 1966.

240 F. Supp. 708, affirmed.

Samuel C. Craven for appellant.

Daniel R. McLeod, Attorney General of South Carolina, and Everett N. Brandon,
Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is affirmed.

Page 382 U.S. 454, 455

[382 U.S. 454](#) (1966) "> U.S. Supreme Court UNITED STATES v. WILSON & CO., INC., [382 U.S. 454](#) (1966) **382 U.S. 454**

UNITED STATES ET AL. v. WILSON & CO., INC., ET AL.
CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
SEVENTH CIRCUIT.

No. 56.

Decided January 31, 1966.

[335 F.2d 788](#) , remanded.

Solicitor General Marshall for the United States et al.

Howard J. Trienens for respondents American Telephone & Telegraph Co. et al.

PER CURIAM.

The joint motion of counsel to remand is granted and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit in order to permit the entry of a decree of restitution in accordance with the agreement of the parties.