

Dilip Vs. State of Kerala

Dilip Vs. State of Kerala

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1014394

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Jan-31-2013

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice T.R.Ramachandran Nair

Appellant : Dilip

Respondent : State of Kerala

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT: THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR THURSDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY 2013 11TH MAGHA 193 CrI.MC.No. 175 of 2013 () ----- ST.NO.4379/2006 of JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT,IRINJALAKUDA CRIME NO. 34/2002 OF KATTOOR POLICE STATION , THRISSUR DISTRICT ----- PETITIONER/5TH ACCUSED: ----- DILIP, S/O.CHANDRAN,AGED 3 YEARS, POLASSERY HOUSE,PONMANIKUDAM DESOM, PERINJANAM VILLAGE. BY ADV. SRI.M.SHAJU PURUSHOTHAMAN RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT: ----- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM,REPRESENTING THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KATTOOR.PIN-68

2. JOSEPH,S/O.VAREED,AGED 45 KAITHARAN HOUSE,KAMMALAM DESOM, KODASSERY VILLAGE,MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK

585. R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.T.RAMAPRASAD UNNI R2 BY ADV. SRI.K.S.RAJESH THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31-01-2013, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING: sts CRMC.NO.175/2013 APPENDIX PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES: ANNEX A COPY OF THE FINAL REPORT IN CRIME NO.34 OF 200.OF KATTOOR POLICE STATION DATED 12 03/2002 ANNEX B COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT SWORN BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 5 1/2013 RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES: NIL /TRUE COPY/ P.A.TO.JUDGE sts T.R. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

~~~~~ Criminal

M.C.No.175/2013

~~~~~ Dated this the 31st day of January, 2013

ORDER

The prayer herein is for quashing Annexure-A final report in Crime No.34/2002 of Kattoor Police Station pending as S.T.No.4379/2006 on the file of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Irinjalakuda.

2. This Court by an interim order dated 14/01/2013 directed the petitioner to surrender before the court below within a period of one week in the light of the settlement between the parties and that bail will be granted to the petitioner on suitable conditions to be fixed by the court below.

3. It is the statement of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had to go abroad in the meanwhile and, therefore, he is unable to comply with the interim order. It is also prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the criminal miscellaneous case with liberty to move again. The criminal miscellaneous case is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. Sd/- (T.R. Ramachandran Nair, Judge.) ms \\True copy// P.A. to Judge

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com