

Miller Vs. Virginia

Miller Vs. Virginia

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101418

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Apr-04-1966

Appeal No. : 383 U.S. 831

Appellant : Miller

Respondent : Virginia

Judgement :

MILLER v. VIRGINIA - 383 U.S. 831 (1966)

U.S. Supreme Court MILLER v. VIRGINIA, 383 U.S. 831 (1966) **383 U.S. 831**

MILLER v. VIRGINIA.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA.

No. 196, Misc.

Decided April 4, 1966.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Appellant pro se.

Reno S. Harp III, Assistant Attorney General of Virginia, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS is of the opinion that in treating the papers as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari should be granted.

[383 U.S. 831](#) (1966) "> U.S. Supreme Court DRUM v. SEAWELL, [383 U.S. 831](#) (1966) **383 U.S. 831**

DRUM ET AL. v. SEAWELL, CHAIRMAN OF THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS, ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA. No. 1128.
Decided April 4, 1966.

249 F. Supp. 877, affirmed.

Louis Rabil and Robinson O. Everett for appellants.

T. Wade Bruton, Attorney General of North Carolina, James F. Bullock, Assistant Attorney General, and Thomas L. Young for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to advance and expedite consideration is granted. The judgment is affirmed.

Page 383 U.S. 831, 832

