

Ford Vs. California

Ford Vs. California

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101403

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Apr-25-1966

Appeal No. : 384 U.S. 100

Appellant : Ford

Respondent : California

Judgement :

FORD v. CALIFORNIA - 384 U.S. 100 (1966)

U.S. Supreme Court FORD v. CALIFORNIA, 384 U.S. 100 (1966) **384 U.S. 100**

FORD, AKA PHELAN v. CALIFORNIA.

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF CALIFORNIA,
FOURTH APPELLATE

DISTRICT. No. 1089.

Decided April 25, 1966.

236 Cal. App. 2d 438, 46 Cal. Rptr. 144, appeal dismissed.

Richard G. Harris for appellant.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

[384 U.S. 100](#) (1966) "> U.S. Supreme Court KRAMER v. UNITED STATES, [384 U.S. 100](#) (1966) **384 U.S. 100**

KRAMER ET AL. v. UNITED STATES.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT
OF APPEALS FOR

THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT. No. 1114.

Decided April 25, 1966.

Certiorari granted; [355 F.2d 891](#) , partially vacated and remanded.

Anna R. Lavin and Frank J. McGarr for petitioners.

Solicitor General Marshall, Assistant Attorney General Vinson and Beatrice
Rosenberg for the United States.

PER CURIAM.

Upon consideration of the representations of the Solicitor General and upon an independent examination of the entire record, the petition for a writ of certiorari is granted, the order suspending the imposition of sentence for the conviction on count two of the indictment as to Roy E. Kramer is vacated, and the cause is remanded to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois for entry of an appropriate sentence. In all other respects the petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com