

Boyden Vs. May

Boyden Vs. May

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101328

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-10-1966

Appeal No. : 385 U.S. 15

Appellant : Boyden

Respondent : May

Judgement :

BOYDEN v. MAY - 385 U.S. 15 (1966)

U.S. Supreme Court BOYDEN v. MAY, 385 U.S. 15 (1966) **385 U.S. 15**

BOYDEN v. MAY, WARDEN.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON. No. 351, Misc.

Decided October 10, 1966.

Appeal dismissed.

Appellant pro se.

Solicitor General Marshall for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed.

[385 U.S. 15](#) (1966) "> U.S. Supreme Court BRADFORD v. HELMAN, [385 U.S. 15](#) (1966) **385 U.S. 15**

BRADFORD v. HELMAN, JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK. No. 389, Misc.
Decided October 10, 1966.

Appeal dismissed.

Appellant pro se.

Louis J. Lefkowitz, Attorney General of New York, Samuel A. Hirshowitz, First Assistant Attorney General, and Brenda Soloff, Assistant Attorney General, for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 385 U.S. 15, 16