

Thyagarajan Vs. the Regional Transport Authority, Alappuzha

Thyagarajan Vs. the Regional Transport Authority, Alappuzha

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1012907

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Feb-01-2013

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice V.Chitambaresh

Appellant : Thyagarajan

Respondent : The Regional Transport Authority, Alappuzha

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT: THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH FRIDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF FEBRUARY 2013 12TH MAGHA 193 WP(C).No. 25921 of 2012 (M)

----- PETITIONER : ----- THYAGARAJAN , S/O.RAGHAVAN, 303 E, MEENASHIMANA 10 PUNNAPRA, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT. BY ADVS.SRI.G.PRABHAKARAN SMT.R.PADMAKUMARI RESPONDENT(S): ----- 1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, ALAPPUZHA, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY -688 001.

2. THE SECRETARY REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, ALAPPUZHA 001.

3. SREE RAM TRANSPORT FINANCE CO. LTD., GEETHA COMMERCIAL COMPLEX, YMCA ROAD KOTTAYAM

002. R1 & R2 BY SR.GOV'T PLEADER SRI P.M. SANEER R3 BY ADVS. SRI.C.HARIKUMAR SMT.C.B.ANUROOPA SRI.VIPIN VARGHESE THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 01-02-2013, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: Mn ...2/- WP(C).No. 25921 of 2012 (M) APPENDIX PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS : EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF THE PERMIT NO.P.GD 4/1277/2007 DATED 8 10.2007. EXHIBIT P2. TRUE COPY OF THE RENEWAL OF PERMIT APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 6 10.2012. EXHIBIT P3. TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 01 10.2012. EXHIBIT P4. TRUE COPY OF THE POSTAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT RECEIPT DATED 3 10.2012. EXHIBIT P5. TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 16 10.2012. EXHIBIT P6. TRUE COPY OF THE POSTAL REGISTRATION RECEIPT DATED 16 10.2012. RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL //TRUE COPY// P.S. TO JUDGE Mn V. CHITAMBARESH, J ----- WP(C) NO. 25921 OF 201.----- Dated this the 1st day of February, 2013 JUDGMENT Ext.P2 is the application put in by the petitioner for renewal of regular goods carriage permit in respect of his vehicle KL 7 F 3852.The petitioner issued a registered notice to the third respondent financier requesting for a 'No Objection Certificate' as evidenced by Ext.P4 acknowledgment card. The petitioner has subsequently preferred Ext.P5 representation to the second respondent seeking for the renewal of regular goods carriage permit in the light of the absence of reply from the third respondent financier.

2. I direct the second respondent to consider Ext.P5 representation on merits with notice to the petitioner and the third respondent financier. The same shall be done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The Writ Petition is disposed of. V. CHITAMBARESH JUDGE ncd

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com