

Halpert Vs. Udall

Halpert Vs. Udall

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101251

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jan-18-1965

Appeal No. : 379 U.S. 645

Appellant : Halpert

Respondent : Udall

Judgement :

HALPERT v. UDALL - 379 U.S. 645 (1965)

U.S. Supreme Court HALPERT v. UDALL, 379 U.S. 645 (1965) **379 U.S. 645**

HALPERT ET AL. v. UDALL, SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA. No. 552.

Decided January 18, 1965.

231 F. Supp. 574, affirmed.

Leo M. Alpert for appellants.

Solicitor General Cox, Roger P. Marquis and Herbert Pittle for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to affirm is granted and the judgment is affirmed.

[379 U.S. 645](#) (1965) "> U.S. Supreme Court WINKLE v. BANNAN, [379 U.S. 645](#)
(1965) **379 U.S. 645**

WINKLE v. BANNAN, WARDEN.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF MICHIGAN.

No. 553.

Decided January 18, 1965.

Motion to strike excerpts from motion to dismiss denied; appeal dismissed; and certiorari denied.

Walter A. Kurz and Dennis Boyle for appellant.

Frank J. Kelley, Attorney General of Michigan, and James R. Ramsey, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to strike excerpts from the motion to dismiss is denied. The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

Page 379 U.S. 645, 646

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com