

Corpora Vs. New York

Corpora Vs. New York

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101171

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Apr-26-1965

Appeal No. : 380 U.S. 520

Appellant : Corpora

Respondent : New York

Judgement :

CORPORA v. NEW YORK - 380 U.S. 520 (1965)

U.S. Supreme Court CORPORA v. NEW YORK, 380 U.S. 520 (1965) **380 U.S. 520**

CORPORA v. NEW YORK.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK.

No. 934.

Decided April 26, 1965.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Emanuel Redfield for appellant.

Frank S. Hogan and H. Richard Uviller for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 380 U.S. 520, 521

[380 U.S. 520](#) (1965) "> U.S. Supreme Court GOLD v. Di CARLO, [380 U.S. 520](#) (1965) **380 U.S. 520**

GOLD ET AL. v. Di CARLO, COMMISSIONER OF LICENSES OF CITY OF NEW YORK,

ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. No. 901.

Decided April 26, 1965.

235 F. Supp. 817, affirmed.

Jesse Moss for appellants.

Leo A. Larkin and Seymour B. Quel for DiCarlo, and Louis J. Lefkowitz, Attorney General of New York, pro se, Samuel A. Hirshowitz, First Assistant Attorney General, and Lester Esterman, Assistant Attorney General, for Lefkowitz, appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motions to affirm are granted and the judgment is affirmed.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com