

Wells Vs. Reynolds

Wells Vs. Reynolds

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101078

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-18-1965

Appeal No. : 382 U.S. 39

Appellant : Wells

Respondent : Reynolds

Judgement :

WELLS v. REYNOLDS - 382 U.S. 39 (1965)

U.S. Supreme Court WELLS v. REYNOLDS, 382 U.S. 39 (1965) **382 U.S. 39**

WELLS ET AL. v. REYNOLDS ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE
DISTRICT OF

GEORGIA. No. 258.

Decided October 18, 1965.

238 F. Supp. 779, affirmed.

Jack Greenberg and James M. Nabrit III for appellants.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is affirmed.

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS, MR. JUSTICE BRENNAN and MR. JUSTICE FORTAS
dissent.

[382 U.S. 39](#) (1965) "> U.S. Supreme Court SHAKESPEARE v. CITY OF
PASADENA, [382 U.S. 39](#) (1965) **382 U.S. 39**

SHAKESPEARE ET AL. v. CITY OF PASADENA.
APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA.
No. 281.
Decided October 18, 1965.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Appellants pro se.

Allyn H. Barber for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dispense with printing the jurisdictional statement is granted.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of
jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for
writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

Page 382 U.S. 39, 40

