

Mcgee Vs. Crouse

Mcgee Vs. Crouse

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/101066

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Nov-08-1965

Appeal No. : 382 U.S. 67

Appellant : Mcgee

Respondent : Crouse

Judgement :

Mc GEE v. CROUSE - 382 U.S. 67 (1965)

U.S. Supreme Court Mc GEE v. CROUSE, 382 U.S. 67 (1965) **382 U.S. 67**

Mc GEE v. CROUSE, WARDEN.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF KANSAS.

No. 550, Misc.

Decided November 8, 1965.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

[382 U.S. 67](#) (1965) "> U.S. Supreme Court ANDREWS VAN LINES, INC. v. UNITED STATES, [382 U.S. 67](#) (1965) **382 U.S. 67**

ANDREWS VAN LINES, INC., ET AL. v. UNITED STATES ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA.

No. 438.

Decided November 8, 1965.

240 F. Supp. 763, affirmed.

J. Max Harding for appellants.

Solicitor General Marshall, Assistant Attorney General Turner, Robert B. Hummel, Gerald Kadish, Robert W. Ginnane and Thomas H. Ploss for the United States et al.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to affirm is granted and the judgment is affirmed.

MR. JUSTICE STEWART is of the opinion that probable jurisdiction should be noted.