

Blaikie Vs. Power

Blaikie Vs. Power

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100998

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jan-13-1964

Appeal No. : 375 U.S. 439

Appellant : Blaikie

Respondent : Power

Judgement :

BLAIKIE v. POWER - 375 U.S. 439 (1964)

U.S. Supreme Court BLAIKIE v. POWER, 375 U.S. 439 (1964) **375 U.S. 439**

BLAIKIE v. POWER ET AL., CONSTITUTING BOARD OF ELECTIONS, CITY OF NEW YORK, ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK. No. 617.

Decided January 13, 1964.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 13 N. Y. 2d 134, 193 N. E. 2d 55.

Harry H. Lipsig for appellant.

Leo A. Larkin, Seymour B. Quel and Joel L. Cohen for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

[375 U.S. 439](#) (1964) "> U.S. Supreme Court PENNINGTON v. CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI, [375 U.S. 439](#) (1964) **375 U.S. 439**

PENNINGTON ET AL. v. CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS OF TEXAS, FOURTH
SUPREME JUDICIAL
DISTRICT. No. 621.
Decided January 13, 1964.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Reported below: 363 S. W. 2d 502.

Sidney P. Chandler for appellants.

I. M. Singer for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

Page 375 U.S. 439, 440

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com