

Neill Vs. Cook

Neill Vs. Cook

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100974

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Feb-24-1964

Appeal No. : 376 U.S. 202

Appellant : Neill

Respondent : Cook

Judgement :

NEILL v. COOK - 376 U.S. 202 (1964)

U.S. Supreme Court NEILL v. COOK, 376 U.S. 202 (1964) **376 U.S. 202**

NEILL ET AL. v. COOK ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS.

No. 691.

Decided February 24, 1964.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

A. B. Culbertson for appellants.

Joe A. Moss for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

[376 U.S. 202](#) (1964) "> U.S. Supreme Court DOUGHTY v. MAXWELL, [376 U.S. 202](#) (1964) **376 U.S. 202**

DOUGHTY v. MAXWELL, WARDEN.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO.

No. 422, Misc.

Decided February 24, 1964.

Certiorari granted and judgment reversed.

Reported below: 175 Ohio St. 46, 191 N. E. 2d 727.

Petitioner pro se.

William B. Saxbe, Attorney General of Ohio, and William C. Baird, Assistant Attorney General, for respondent.

PER CURIAM.

The motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and the petition for writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is reversed. Carnley v. Cochran, [369 U.S. 506](#) , Gideon v. Wainwright, [372 U.S. 335](#) .

Page 376 U.S. 202, 203

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com