

**In Re Crow**

**In Re Crow**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/100940](http://sooperkanoon.com/100940)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** Mar-30-1964

**Appeal No. :** 376 U.S. 647

**Appellant :** In Re Crow

**Judgement :**

IN RE CROW - 376 U.S. 647 (1964)

U.S. Supreme Court IN RE CROW, 376 U.S. 647 (1964) **376 U.S. 647**

IN RE CROW.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO.

No. 767.

Decided March 30, 1964.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Appellant pro se.

William B. Saxbe, Attorney General of Ohio, for the Supreme Court of Ohio, in opposition.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

---

[376 U.S. 647](#) (1964) "> U.S. Supreme Court PORT OF BROOKINGS v. UNITED STATES, [376 U.S. 647](#) (1964) **376 U.S. 647**

PORT OF BROOKINGS ET AL. v. UNITED STATES ET AL.  
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.

No. 771.

Decided March 30, 1964.

Affirmed.

Lloyd Hammel, Assistant Attorney General of Oregon, and Sidney Teiser for appellants.

Solicitor General Cox, Assistant Attorney General Orrick, Lionel Kestenbaum, Robert W. Ginnane, H. Neil Garson and Betty Jo Christian for the United States et al.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to affirm is granted and the judgment is affirmed.

Page 376 U.S. 647, 648