SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com

Marshall Vs. Hare

Marshall Vs. Hare

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100822

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Jun-22-1964

Appeal No. : 378 U.S. 561

Appellant : Marshall

Respondent : Hare

Judgement :

MARSHALL v. HARE - 378 U.S. 561 (1964)
U.S. Supreme Court MARSHALL v. HARE, 378 U.S. 561 (1964) **378 U.S. 561**

MARSHALL ET AL. v. HARE, SECRETARY OF STATE OF MICHIGAN, ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
MICHIGAN. No. 962.
Decided June 22, 1964.

Judgment reversed and case remanded.

Reported below: 227 F. Supp. 989.

Theodore Sachs for appellants.

Robert A. Derengoski, Solicitor General of Michigan, Stanton S. Faville, Chief Assistant Attorney General, and James R. Ramsey and Russell A. Searl, Assistant Attorneys General, for Hare et al.; and Edmund E. Shepherd and R. William Rogers for Beadle et al., appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment below is reversed. Reynolds v. Sims, 377 U.S. 533 ; Lucas v. Forty-Fourth General Assembly of Colorado, 377 U.S. 713 . The case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with the views stated in our opinions in Reynolds v. Sims and in the other cases relating to state legislative apportionment decided along with Reynolds.

MR. JUSTICE CLARK and MR. JUSTICE STEWART would affirm the judgment because the Michigan system of legislative apportionment is clearly a rational one and clearly does not frustrate effective majority rule.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN dissents for the reasons stated in his dissenting opinion in Reynolds v. Sims, 377 U.S. 533, 589 .

Page 378 U.S. 561, 562

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com