

Bennett Vs. County of Dade

Bennett Vs. County of Dade

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100786

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-12-1964

Appeal No. : 379 U.S. 8

Appellant : Bennett

Respondent : County of Dade

Judgement :

BENNETT v. COUNTY OF DADE - 379 U.S. 8 (1964)

U.S. Supreme Court BENNETT v. COUNTY OF DADE, 379 U.S. 8 (1964) **379 U.S. 8**

BENNETT v. COUNTY OF DADE, FLORIDA.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA.

No. 275, Misc.

Decided October 12, 1964.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 379 U.S. 8, 9

[379 U.S. 8](#) (1964) "> U.S. Supreme Court YORTY v. JORDAN, [379 U.S. 8](#) (1964) **379 U.S. 8**

YORTY ET AL. v. JORDAN, SECRETARY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA.

No. 250.

Decided October 12, 1964.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Roger Arnebergh, Bourke Jones and James A. Doherty for appellants.

Stanley Mosk, Attorney General of California, Charles E. Corker and Charles A. Barrett, Assistant Attorneys General, and Sanford N. Gruskin, Deputy Attorney General, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.