

Holmes Vs. California

Holmes Vs. California

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100658

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Apr-15-1963

Appeal No. : 372 U.S. 710

Appellant : Holmes

Respondent : California

Judgement :

HOLMES v. CALIFORNIA - 372 U.S. 710 (1963)

U.S. Supreme Court HOLMES v. CALIFORNIA, 372 U.S. 710 (1963) **372 U.S. 710**

HOLMES v. CALIFORNIA ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

OF CALIFORNIA, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT. No. 70, Misc.

Decided April 15, 1963.

Certiorari granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded.

Reported below: 197 Cal. App. 2d 699, 17 Cal. Rptr. 599.

Petitioner pro se.

Stanley Mosk, Attorney General of California, and William E. James, Assistant Attorney General, for respondents.

PER CURIAM.

The motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and the petition for writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded for further consideration in light of Douglas v. California, [372 U.S. 353](#) .

MR. JUSTICE CLARK and MR. JUSTICE HARLAN dissent for the reasons stated in their opinions in Douglas v. California, 372 U.S., at 358, 360.

MR. JUSTICE WHITE took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

Page 372 U.S. 710, 711

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com