

Doughty Vs. Maxwell

Doughty Vs. Maxwell

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100641

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Apr-22-1963

Appeal No. : 372 U.S. 781

Appellant : Doughty

Respondent : Maxwell

Judgement :

DOUGHTY v. MAXWELL - 372 U.S. 781 (1963)

U.S. Supreme Court DOUGHTY v. MAXWELL, 372 U.S. 781 (1963) **372 U.S. 781**

DOUGHTY v. MAXWELL, WARDEN.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO.

No. 516, Misc.

Decided April 22, 1963.

Certiorari granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded.

Reported below: 173 Ohio St. 407, 183 N. E. 2d 368.

Petitioner pro se.

Mark McElroy, Attorney General of Ohio, and James E. Rattan, Assistant Attorney General, for respondent.

PER CURIAM.

The motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and the petition for writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded for further consideration in light of *Gideon v. Wainwright*, [372 U.S. 335](#) .

Page 372 U.S. 781, 782

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com