

**Thompson Vs. Virginia**

**Thompson Vs. Virginia**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/100546](http://sooperkanoon.com/100546)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** Jun-10-1963

**Appeal No. :** 374 U.S. 99

**Appellant :** Thompson

**Respondent :** Virginia

**Judgement :**

THOMPSON v. VIRGINIA - 374 U.S. 99 (1963)

U.S. Supreme Court THOMPSON v. VIRGINIA, 374 U.S. 99 (1963) **374 U.S. 99**

THOMPSON v. VIRGINIA.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF

VIRGINIA. No. 60.

Decided June 10, 1963.

Certiorari granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded.

Jack Greenberg, Constance Baker Motley, James M. Nabrit III, S. W. Tucker and Derrick A. Bell, Jr. for petitioner.

Robert Y. Button, Attorney General of Virginia, and R. D. McIlwaine III, Assistant Attorney General, for respondent.

PER CURIAM.

The petition for writ of certiorari is granted, the judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the Supreme Court of Appeals of Virginia for reconsideration in light of Peterson v. City of Greenville, [373 U.S. 244](#) .

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN concurs in the result on the premises stated in his separate opinion in Peterson v. City of Greenville and Avent v. North Carolina, 373 U.S., at 248.

Page 374 U.S. 99, 100

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**