

**Randolph Vs. Virginia**

**Randolph Vs. Virginia**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/100544](http://sooperkanoon.com/100544)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** Jun-10-1963

**Appeal No. :** 374 U.S. 97

**Appellant :** Randolph

**Respondent :** Virginia

**Judgement :**

RANDOLPH v. VIRGINIA - 374 U.S. 97 (1963)

U.S. Supreme Court RANDOLPH v. VIRGINIA, 374 U.S. 97 (1963) **374 U.S. 97**

RANDOLPH ET AL. v. VIRGINIA.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF

VIRGINIA. No. 20.

Decided June 10, 1963.

Certiorari granted; judgments vacated; and case remanded.

Reported below: 202 Va. 661, 119 S. E. 2d 817.

Martin A. Martin, Clarence W. Newsome, Jack Greenberg, James M. Nabrit III, and Charles L. Black, Jr. for petitioners.

Robert Y. Button, Attorney General of Virginia, and R. D. McIlwaine III, Assistant Attorney General, for respondent.

PER CURIAM.

The petition for writ of certiorari is granted, the judgments are vacated and the case is remanded to the Supreme Court of Appeals of Virginia for reconsideration in light of *Peterson v. City of Greenville*, [373 U.S. 244](#) .

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN concurs in the result on the premises stated in his separate opinion in *Peterson v. City of Greenville* and *Avent v. North Carolina*, 373 U.S., at 248.

Page 374 U.S. 97, 98

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**