

**Baldwin Vs. Moss**

**Baldwin Vs. Moss**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/100540](http://sooperkanoon.com/100540)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** Jun-10-1963

**Appeal No. :** 374 U.S. 93

**Appellant :** Baldwin

**Respondent :** Moss

**Judgement :**

BALDWIN v. MOSS - 374 U.S. 93 (1963)

U.S. Supreme Court BALDWIN v. MOSS, 374 U.S. 93 (1963) **374 U.S. 93**

BALDWIN ET AL. v. MOSS ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN  
DISTRICT OF

OKLAHOMA. No. 864.

Decided June 10, 1963.

Appeal dismissed.

Reported below: \_\_\_\_ F. Supp. \_\_\_\_.

Walt Allen, Jim A. Rinehart, Leon S. Hirsh and James C. Harkin for appellants.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN would postpone consideration of the question of jurisdiction until after argument on the merits.

ALLEN v. VIRGINIA, [374 U.S. 93](#) (1963) ALLEN ET AL. v. VIRGINIA. ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HOPEWELL, VIRGINIA. No. 264, Misc. Decided June 10, 1963.

Certiorari granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded.

Leonard W. Holt and Simon Lawrence Cain for petitioners.

Sol Goodman for respondent.

PER CURIAM.

The motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and the petition for writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the Circuit Court of Hopewell, Virginia, for reconsideration in light of Griffin v. Illinois, [351 U.S. 12](#) .

Page 374 U.S. 93, 94