

King Vs. King

King Vs. King

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100489

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-14-1963

Appeal No. : 375 U.S. 17

Appellant : King

Respondent : King

Judgement :

KING v. KING - 375 U.S. 17 (1963)

U.S. Supreme Court KING v. KING, 375 U.S. 17 (1963) **375 U.S. 17**

KING ET AL. v. KING ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA.

No. 153, Misc.

Decided October 14, 1963.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Reported below: 218 Ga. 534, 129 S. E. 2d 147.

Appellants pro se.

William K. Meadow and Robert B. Troutman for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

[375 U.S. 17](#) (1963) "> U.S. Supreme Court RYAN v. TINSLEY, [375 U.S. 17](#) (1963) **375 U.S. 17**

RYAN v. TINSLEY, WARDEN.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT.

No. 403, Misc.

Decided October 14, 1963.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Reported below: [316 F.2d 430](#) .

Appellant pro se.

Duke W. Dunbar, Attorney General of Colorado, Frank E. Hickey, Deputy Attorney General, and John E. Bush, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

Page 375 U.S. 17, 18

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com