

Gray Vs. Pennsylvania

Gray Vs. Pennsylvania

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100485

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-14-1963

Appeal No. : 375 U.S. 13

Appellant : Gray

Respondent : Pennsylvania

Judgement :

GRAY v. PENNSYLVANIA - 375 U.S. 13 (1963)

U.S. Supreme Court GRAY v. PENNSYLVANIA, 375 U.S. 13 (1963) **375 U.S. 13**

GRAY v. PENNSYLVANIA.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA.

No. 383, Misc.

Decided October 14, 1963.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

[375 U.S. 13](#) (1963) "> U.S. Supreme Court HIGBEE v. THOMAS, [375 U.S. 13](#)
(1963) **375 U.S. 13**

HIGBEE v. THOMAS, WARDEN.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF
KENTUCKY.

No. 6, Misc.

Decided October 14, 1963.

Certiorari granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded.

Petitioner pro se.

John B. Breckinridge, Attorney General of Kentucky, and Ray Corns, Assistant
Attorney General, for respondent.

PER CURIAM.

The motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and the petition for a writ of
certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the
Court of Appeals of Kentucky for further consideration in light of Pennsylvania ex
rel. Herman v. Claudy, [350 U.S. 116](#) .

MR. JUSTICE CLARK, MR. JUSTICE HARLAN and MR. JUSTICE STEWART are
of the opinion that the petition for a writ of certiorari should be denied.