

Ryan Vs. President of the Senate

Ryan Vs. President of the Senate

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100479

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Oct-14-1963

Appeal No. : 375 U.S. 7

Appellant : Ryan

Respondent : President of the Senate

Judgement :

RYAN v. PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE - 375 U.S. 7 (1963)

U.S. Supreme Court RYAN v. PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE, 375 U.S. 7 (1963)

375 U.S. 7

RYAN v. PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE, U.S. CONGRESS.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT.

No. 127.

Decided October 14, 1963.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Appellant pro se.

Solicitor General Cox, Assistant Attorney General Douglas and Sherman L. Cohn for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for a writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

[375 U.S. 7](#) (1963) "> U.S. Supreme Court WILLIAMS v. CITY OF WICHITA, [375 U.S. 7](#) (1963) **375 U.S. 7**

WILLIAMS v. CITY OF WICHITA.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF KANSAS.

No. 132.

Decided October 14, 1963.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 190 Kan. 317, 374 P.2d 578.

Kenneth G. Speir, Herbert H. Sizemore and Eugene Gressman for appellant.

William M. Ferguson, Attorney General of Kansas, and Charles S. Rhyne for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 375 U.S. 7, 8

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com