

Lee Vs. Peek

Lee Vs. Peek

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100225

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Dec-03-1962

Appeal No. : 371 U.S. 184

Appellant : Lee

Respondent : Peek

Judgement :

LEE v. PEEK - 371 U.S. 184 (1962)

U.S. Supreme Court LEE v. PEEK, 371 U.S. 184 (1962) **371 U.S. 184**

LEE v. PEEK ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

No. 426.

Decided December 3, 1962.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Reported below: 240 S. C. 203, 125 S. E. 2d 353.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

Page 371 U.S. 184, 185

[371 U.S. 184](#) (1962) "> U.S. Supreme Court PENSICK & GORDON, INC., v. CALIFORNIA MOTOR EXPRESS, [371 U.S. 184](#) (1962) **371 U.S. 184**

PENSICK & GORDON, INC., v. CALIFORNIA MOTOR EXPRESS ET AL.
ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT
OF
APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT. No. 222.
Decided December 3, 1962.

Certiorari granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded.

Reported below: [302 F.2d 391](#) .

Carl M. Gould for petitioner.

Theodore W. Russell, George L. Catlin and Joseph P. Loeb for respondents.

PER CURIAM.

The petition for writ of certiorari is granted. The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the Court of Appeals for further consideration in light of *Hewitt-Robins Inc. v. Eastern Freight-Ways, Inc.*, ante, p. 84.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN, MR. JUSTICE STEWART, and MR. JUSTICE WHITE would affirm the judgment below for the reasons given in the dissenting opinion in

Hewitt-Robins.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com