

Winkle Vs. Bannan

Winkle Vs. Bannan

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100014

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Nov-06-1961

Appeal No. : 368 U.S. 34

Appellant : Winkle

Respondent : Bannan

Judgement :

WINKLE v. BANNAN - 368 U.S. 34 (1961)

U.S. Supreme Court WINKLE v. BANNAN, 368 U.S. 34 (1961) **368 U.S. 34**

WINKLE v. BANNAN, WARDEN.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF MICHIGAN.

No. 93, Misc.

Decided November 6, 1961.

Certiorari granted; judgment vacated; case remanded.

Petitioner pro se.

Paul L. Adams, Attorney General of Michigan, Joseph B. Bilitzke, Solicitor General, and Robert Weinbaum, Assistant Solicitor General, for respondent.

PER CURIAM.

The motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and the petition for writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated and, as suggested by the Attorney General of Michigan, the case is remanded for consideration in light of *Mapp v. Ohio*, [367 U.S. 643](#) .

[368 U.S. 34](#) (1961) "> U.S. Supreme Court COATES v. WALTERS, [368 U.S. 34](#) (1961) **368 U.S. 34**

COATES v. WALTERS.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK.

No. 339, Misc.

Decided November 6, 1961.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 9 N. Y. 2d 242, 173 N. E. 2d 797.

Vito J. Cassan for appellant.

Louis J. Lefkowitz, Attorney General of New York, Paxton Blair, Solicitor General, and Jean M. Coon, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 368 U.S. 34, 35

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com