

Cummings Vs. Huiskamp

Cummings Vs. Huiskamp

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/100010

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Nov-06-1961

Appeal No. : 368 U.S. 30

Appellant : Cummings

Respondent : Huiskamp

Judgement :

CUMMINGS v. HUISKAMP - 368 U.S. 30 (1961)

U.S. Supreme Court CUMMINGS v. HUISKAMP, 368 U.S. 30 (1961) **368 U.S. 30**

CUMMINGS v. HUISKAMP, JUDGE, LEE COUNTY DISTRICT COURT, ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT
OF IOWA. No. 511, Misc.
Decided November 6, 1961.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed.

Page 368 U.S. 30, 31

[368 U.S. 30](#) (1961) "> U.S. Supreme Court DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE v. UNITED STATES, [368 U.S. 30](#) (1961) **368 U.S. 30**

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE OF ILLINOIS ET AL. v. UNITED STATES ET AL.
APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT

OF ILLINOIS. No. 245.

Decided November 6, 1961.

Judgment vacated and case remanded.

Reported below: 191 F. Supp. 723.

William G. Clark, Attorney General of Illinois, and William C. Wines, Raymond S. Sarnow and A. Zola Groves, Assistant Attorneys General, for appellants.

Solicitor General Cox and John H. Caruthers for the United States et al.

PER CURIAM.

In the light of the representations of the Solicitor General and upon consideration of the entire record, the judgment of the District Court is vacated. The case is remanded to the District Court for further consideration in the light of developments which have occurred since the injunction was issued, without prejudice to consideration by that court of any application by appellees for such temporary equitable relief as they may request pending the further proceedings hereby ordered.